

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	D. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,819	10/500,819 07/07/2004		Ryuji Shiozaki	Y31-170800C/KK	8667
21254	7590	12/14/2006	EXAMINER		
MCGINN IN 8321 OLD CO		CHUO, TON	CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG		
SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VIENNA, VA	22182-381	1745	<u> </u>		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/500,819)	SHIOZAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tony Chuo		1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a common of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever vill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the station to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2004</u> .		,			
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from con	}				
Application Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted drawing(s) be ion is require	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/7/04</u> .		6)	•			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/7/04 was filed on
 7/7/04. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.
 Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings filed on 7/7/04 are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The addition of the word "type" to an otherwise definite expression extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/500,819

Art Unit: 1745

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oshita et al (JP 10-289731). The Oshita reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte battery having a negative electrode, a non-aqueous electrolyte, and a positive electrode containing a positive active material comprising a compound expressed by the formula of $\text{Li}_a\text{Co}_b\text{Mn}_c\text{M}_d\text{Ni}_{1-(b+c+d)}\text{O}_2$ wherein 0 < a < 1.2, $0.1 \le b < 1$, $0.05 \le c < 1$, $0 \le d < 1$, $0.15 \le b + c + d < 1$ (See paragraphs [0010],[0012],[0023]). It also discloses an example 16 containing $\text{LiMn}_{0.05}\text{Ni}_{0.05}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{O}_2$ which meets the limitation of $0 < a \le 1.3$, |b-c| < 0.03, $0.8 \le d < 1$, and $1.7 \le e \le 2.3$, b+c+d=1 (See Table 2).
- 8. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noma et al (JP 05-242891). The Oshita reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte battery having a negative electrode, a non-aqueous electrolyte, and a positive electrode containing a positive active material that is $\text{Li}_a\text{Mn}_b\text{Ni}_c\text{Co}_d\text{O}_e$ wherein 0<a<1.3, $0.02 \le b \le 0.5$, $0.02 \le d/c + d \le 0.9$, 1.8 < e < 2.2, and b + c + d = 1 (See paragraphs [0006],[0012],[0031]). An example of the active material that would meet the limitation of $0 < a \le 1.3$, |b c| < 0.03, $0.8 \le d < 1$, $1.7 \le e \le 2.3$, and b + c + d = 1 is $\text{LiMn}_{0.1}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{O}_2$.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,819 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

9. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujimoto et al (US 2003/0180617). The Fujimoto reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte battery having a negative electrode, a non-aqueous electrolyte, and a positive electrode containing a positive active material comprising a compound represented by Li_aMn_bNi_bCo_{1-2b}O₂ wherein 0≤a≤1.1 and 0<b≤0.5 (See paragraph [0010]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Numata et al (JP 2000-077071) in view of Oshita et al (JP 10-289731). The Numata reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte battery having a negative electrode, a non-aqueous electrolyte, and a positive electrode containing (A) lithium manganese multiple oxide (LiMn₂O₄) having a spinel structure and (B) lithium nickel complex oxide (See paragraphs [0026],[0034],[0059],[0061]). Numata et al also discloses (A) and (B) in a proportion (weight ratio) of 90:10, 85:15, 80:20, 70:30, and 65:35 (See paragraph [0080]). However, Numata et al does not expressly teach a lithium-nickel-manganese-cobalt composite oxide having an α-NaFeO₂ type layer structure and represented by the general formula Li₂Mn₀Ni₀CO₀dO₀ wherein 0<a≤1.3, |b-c|<0.03, 0.8≤d<1, 1.7≤e≤2.3, and

Art Unit: 1745

b+c+d=1. The Oshita reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte battery having a negative electrode, a non-aqueous electrolyte, and a positive electrode containing a positive active material comprising a compound expressed by the formula of $\text{Li}_a\text{Co}_b\text{Mn}_c\text{M}_d\text{Ni}_{1-(b+c+d)}\text{O}_2$ wherein 0<a<1.2, 0.1≤b<1, 0.05≤c<1, 0≤d<1, 0.15≤b+c+d<1 (See paragraphs [0010],[0012],[0023]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Numata positive electrode to include a lithium-nickel-manganese-cobalt composite oxide having an α -NaFeO₂ type layer structure and represented by the general formula $\text{Li}_a\text{Mn}_b\text{Ni}_c\text{Co}_d\text{O}_e$ wherein 0<a≤1.3, |b-c|<0.03, 0.8≤d<1, 1.7≤e≤2.3, and b+c+d=1 in order to improve the cycle property and the charge preservation property of the non-aqueous electrolyte battery.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's trainer, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on (571) 272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,819

Art Unit: 1745

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

TC

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER